

Errata to “Instantons and odd Khovanov homology”
J. Topol., 8(3):744–810,2015.
Christopher W. Scaduto

The following are (easy to correct) errors in the published version of the above-titled paper.

1. In the grading formula for Theorem 1.1, $\sigma/2$ should be replaced by $\sigma/2 + 2\sigma$. This follows from the correction listed in item 3 below. This correction only affects the $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -grading by an overall shift. The term $\delta^\#$, (8.1) and the equation following the statement of Lemma 8.5 should similarly be shifted by $2\sigma \pmod{4}$.
2. In the statement of Thm 2.2, either $m = 1$ or all bundles should be *non-trivial* admissible. This is because when there are trivial connections around, the maps defined using families of metrics of dimension 4 are not well-defined, for lack of compactness. In the same vein, Eqs. (13), (14), (15) should be restricted to non-trivial admissible bundles, or have $\dim G \leq 2$. Thm 6.1, a restatement of Thm 2.2, should be similarly amended. This restriction of hypotheses does not affect any of the main results of the paper in the introduction.
3. The statement of Lemma 8.5 should be corrected to read $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}_{\infty 1}) \equiv n_- \pmod{2}$. Although one can proceed with the approach of the proof there, by more carefully computing the intersection number of the surface, here is the quickest way to correct: by additivity, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}_{\infty 1}) \equiv \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}_{\infty v}) \pmod{2}$, where v is the *oriented* resolution of D , and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}_{\infty v}) \equiv n_- \pmod{2}$ since $X_{\infty v}$ is a spin 4-manifold V (the one obtained by attaching 2-handles for the oriented resolution) blown up $|v|_1 = n_-$ many times, each with non-trivial bundle over $-\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.
4. The sign of a_q in the Jones polynomial, right before section 9, is off by $(-1)^\sigma$. This cancels with the grading shift in item 1 to leave the statement of Corollary 1.2 unchanged.
5. In Lemma 5.5, $H^2(E)$ should have rank 2, since E is homeomorphic to $-\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# S^2 \times D^2$; but this doesn't change the argument, which only has to do with $P(E) = H^2(E, \partial E)$, of rank 1.

Please contact me if you have any questions or other corrections.